Journal of International Architectural Sciences
JIArcS, 2025, 2 (1): 01-08
Research Article
https://mimarlikbilimleri.com/ojs/index.php/architecturalsciences
E-mail: architecturalsciences@gmail.com
e-ISSN: 3062-1399

Functional Mapping of Industry 4.0 Technologies in Construction Project Management

Tayibe SEYMAN GÜRAY[1]*
ORCID 1: 0000-0001-5692-9669
[1] Fenerbahce University, Architecture and Design Faculty, Department of Int. Architecture and Env.Des., 34758, Istanbul, Türkiye.
** e-mail: tayibe.guray@fbu.edu.tr*

Abstract

The construction industry is undergoing a significant digital transformation driven by Industry 4.0 technologies. Characterized by automation, digital connectivity, and data-driven decision-making, Industry 4.0 presents new opportunities for enhancing construction project management processes. This study aims to examine the functional alignment of five core technologies BIM, VR/AR, AI, Digital Twins, and IoT within key construction project management domains. Using a literature-based methodology, the study identifies the presence and application areas of these technologies across four fundamental project management functions: time, cost, quality and communication. Rather than evaluating the depth of integration, the paper focuses on whether and how each technology is utilized within these domains. The findings provide a structured understanding of current trends and potential applications of Industry 4.0 tools in construction project environments, offering guidance for researchers and practitioners seeking to leverage digital technologies in project planning, execution, and control.

Keywords: *Industry 4.0, Construction project management, BIM, Digital technologies, Functional mapping.*

İnşaat Proje Yönetiminde Endüstri 4.0 Teknolojilerinin Fonksiyonel Eşlemesi

Öz

İnşaat sektörü, Endüstri 4.0 teknolojilerinin yönlendirdiği önemli bir dijital dönüşüm sürecinden geçmektedir. Otomasyon, dijital bağlantı ve veri odaklı karar verme süreçleriyle karakterize edilen Endüstri 4.0, inşaat proje yönetimi uygulamalarını geliştirmek için yeni fırsatlar sunmaktadır. Bu çalışma, Yapı Bilgi Modellemesi (BIM), Sanal ve Artırılmış Gerçeklik (VR/AR), Yapay Zeka (AI), Dijital İkizler ve Nesnelerin İnterneti (IoT) olmak üzere beş temel teknolojinin, inşaat proje yönetiminin ana işlev alanlarıyla olan fonksiyonel ilişkisini incelemeyi amaçlamaktadır. Literatüre dayalı bir yöntemle, bu teknolojilerin zaman, maliyet, kalite ve iletişim olarak dört temel proje yönetimi fonksiyonu kapsamında hangi alanlarda yer aldığı belirlenmiştir. Çalışma, entegrasyon derecesinden ziyade teknolojilerin bu alanlarda kullanılıp kullanılmadığına odaklanmaktadır. Bulgular, mevcut eğilimleri ve gelecekteki potansiyel uygulamaları yapılandırılmış biçimde ortaya koyarak, dijital teknolojilerin planlama, yürütme ve kontrol süreçlerine dahil edilmesi konusunda rehber sunmaktadır.

Anahtar kelimeler: *Endüstri 4.0, İnşaat proje yönetimi, BIM, Dijital teknolojiler, Fonksiyonel eşleme.*

Citation: Seyman Güray, T. (2025). Functional mapping of Industry 4.0 technologies in construction project management. *Journal of International Architectural Sciences***.** 2 (1): 01-08.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15908037

Received: *June 4, 2025 –* ***Accepted:*** *July 4, 2025*

1. Introduction

The construction industry plays a critical role in global economic development, yet it continues to face persistent challenges that hinder its overall efficiency and performance. Chronic issues such as cost overruns, schedule delays, quality deficiencies, and stagnant productivity levels remain pervasive across the sector. These inefficiencies are especially significant within the domain of construction project management, which involves the systematic planning, coordination, and control of resources throughout a project's lifecycle.

A landmark report by the McKinsey Global Institute (2017) ranks construction among the least digitized industries, with labor productivity increasing by just 1% annually over the past two decades. This underperformance is largely attributed to the industry's fragmented nature and continued reliance on outdated workflows and communication practices. As construction projects grow in complexity, there is a pressing need for digital transformation, particularly within the core functions of project management.

Industry 4.0 marked by the convergence of cyber-physical systems, automation, data analytics, and pervasive connectivity has emerged as a key enabler of digital transformation across industries. In the context of construction, five technologies stand out for their potential to improve project planning, execution, and control: Building Information Modeling (BIM), Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Digital Twins, and the Internet of Things (IoT) (Deloitte, 2025; KPMG, 2023).

The Project Management Institute (PMI) underscores the importance of digital maturity in achieving project success, reporting that digitally advanced organizations deliver significantly better outcomes (PMI, 2021). Similarly, Deloitte (2025) notes that construction firms in the Asia-Pacific region have adopted an average of 6.2 digital technologies per firm, showing a positive correlation with revenue growth. KPMG's Global Construction Survey (2023) reveals that only 50% of projects are delivered on time, highlighting the continued need for improved project controls and digital integration.

Despite increasing attention to digital technologies, the academic and professional literature lacks a structured framework that functionally aligns specific Industry 4.0 technologies with distinct construction project management areas. Most existing studies focus on individual technologies or isolated implementations, offering limited insight into their broader functional relevance across project management tasks.

To address this gap, the present study develops a technology-function mapping matrix that links core Industry 4.0 technologies with critical construction project management domains namely cost, time, quality, safety, and communication. Through a literature-driven approach, the study aims to provide a functional perspective that helps professionals and researchers understand where and how these technologies can be most effectively deployed to improve project performance and decision-making.

1.1. Literature Review

In response to persistent inefficiencies in the construction sector, recent research has increasingly focused on the role of digital transformation in improving project outcomes. Industry 4.0 technologies have emerged as promising tools for enhancing construction project management (CPM), particularly in areas such as planning, communication & coordination, risk management, and real-time monitoring. Over the past decade, the academic literature has explored the potential of various digital solutions to address project complexity and improve performance metrics such as time, cost, and quality (Azhar, 2011; Opoku et al., 2021).

Industry 4.0 introduces a suite of transformative technologies that have the potential to address these issues and improve project delivery performance (Sawhney et al., 2020). Among these, five core Technologies BIM, AI, IoT, VR/AR, and Digital Twins have been increasingly investigated in construction contexts. These technologies have been shown to address project complexity and enhance key performance indicators such as time, cost, and quality (Sawhney et al., 2020; Opoku et al., 2021).

BIM has been widely adopted to support integrated project delivery by enabling real-time data exchange and visualization throughout the design and construction process. It enhances communication and reduces design errors and delays (Azhar, 2011).

AI applications, including machine learning algorithms and predictive analytics, are being used for schedule forecasting, cost estimation, and risk identification (Abioye et al., 2021).

IoT technologies allow for real-time site monitoring, equipment tracking, and environmental sensing, supporting proactive decision-making and improved safety management (Dave et al., 2016).

VR and AR provide immersive environments for design reviews, site training, and stakeholder engagement, leading to fewer conflicts during construction phases (Sacks et al., 2018).

Digital Twins, as real-time digital replicas of physical assets, offer dynamic monitoring capabilities that support lifecycle asset management and operational efficiency (Opoku et al., 2021).

Despite these advancements, existing literature often examines these technologies in isolation or through case-specific lenses, without a systematic framework that aligns them functionally with the core objectives of construction project management namely cost, time, quality, and communication. To fill this gap, the present study develops a conceptual matrix that functionally maps Industry 4.0 technologies to these core construction project management domains. This approach aims to guide practitioners and researchers in identifying where and how digital technologies can be deployed to improve construction project outcomes.

2. Material and Method

This study adopts a structured literature review approach to explore the integration of Industry 4.0 technologies within construction project management functions. The objective is to assess whether five key Technologies BIM, VR/AR, AI, Digital Twins, and IoT can be meaningfully integrated into four core CPM domains: time, cost, quality, communication.

The literature review was conducted using the Web of Science (WoS) database to ensure quality and academic rigor. Peer-reviewed journal articles and conference proceedings published between 2015 and 2025 were selected using Boolean search combinations specific to each CPM domain, as detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Boolean search combinations in WoS to retrieve relevant articles (Developed by the author)

CPM Domain	Search Query (Boolean)
Cost	("Building Information Modeling" OR BIM OR "Virtual Reality" OR "Augmented Reality" OR VR OR AR OR "Artificial Intelligence" OR AI OR "Digital Twin" OR "Internet of Things" OR IoT) AND ("construction project management" OR "construction management") AND (cost OR budgeting OR "cost control" OR "cost management")
Quality	("Building Information Modeling" OR BIM OR "Virtual Reality" OR "Augmented Reality" OR VR OR AR OR "Artificial Intelligence" OR AI OR "Digital Twin" OR "Internet of Things" OR IoT) AND ("construction project management" OR "construction management") AND (quality OR "quality control" OR "quality management" OR "quality assurance")
Time	("Building Information Modeling" OR BIM OR "Virtual Reality" OR "Augmented Reality" OR VR OR AR OR "Artificial Intelligence" OR AI OR "Digital Twin" OR "Internet of Things" OR IoT) AND ("construction project management" OR "construction management") AND (time OR scheduling OR "time management" OR "schedule control")
Communication	("Building Information Modeling" OR BIM OR "Virtual Reality" OR "Augmented Reality" OR VR OR AR OR "Artificial Intelligence" OR AI OR "Digital Twin" OR "Internet of Things" OR IoT) AND ("construction project management" OR "construction management") AND (communication OR "information flow" OR collaboration OR coordination)

The literature selection process involved manual screening of the retrieved records to exclude duplicates, irrelevant studies, and non-English publications. The filtered articles were categorized based on the Industry 4.0 technology discussed and the relevant construction project management domain addressed. This categorization was documented and organized in a printed spreadsheet format to facilitate thematic coding and qualitative synthesis.

Rather than aiming for a systematic review, this search was intended to ensure that the functional insights presented in the matrix are grounded in high-quality academic literature. Selected studies were then thematically reviewed to extract patterns of technology application across CPM functions.

A total of approximately 240 publications related to cost management, 315 to time/schedule management, 161 to quality, and 194 to communication in the context of Industry 4.0 were retrieved. These results served as a guiding framework to identify recurring patterns of technology use across each functional domain. Articles were manually screened and thematically coded according to the specific Industry 4.0 technology discussed and the CPM domain of application.

The matrix developed in this study adopts a binary classification system to indicate the presence or absence of documented applications of each technology within each CPM domain. A "yes" (✓) signifies that the technology has been shown to support or enhance the respective project management function through actual or proposed applications in the literature, whereas a "no" (×) denotes insufficient or no evidence of such application.

This qualitative mapping provides a conceptual overview of Industry 4.0 technology applications in construction project management. It aligns with frameworks that emphasize thematic synthesis over exhaustive quantitative analysis (Perera et al., 2025; You & Feng, 2020). The resulting matrix synthesizes current knowledge, enabling identification of technologies aligned with specific project management functions.

3. Findings and Discussion

The literature review yielded a clear pattern of integration potential across the five selected Industry 4.0 technologies in relation to core construction project management functions. Table 2 presents a binary matrix summarizing whether each technology has demonstrably supported functions such as time, cost, quality, and communication in construction practice or research. The following discussion elaborates on each technology's alignment with these functions and highlights observed gaps.

Table 2. Industry 4.0 technology and construction project management functions (Developed by the author)

Technology	Time	Cost	Quality	Communication
Building Information Modeling (BIM)	✓	✓	✓	✓
Virtual / Augmented Reality (VR/AR)	✓	×	✓	✓
Artificial Intelligence (AI)	✓	✓	✓	×
Digital Twins	✓	✓	✓	×
Internet of Things (IoT)	✓	✓	✓	×

While the proposed technology–function matrix offers a synthesized overview of Industry 4.0 applications across core project management domains, the use of a binary classification method (✓/×) introduces certain limitations. This approach, while useful for conceptual clarity, oversimplifies the spectrum of technology adoption by not accounting for levels of maturity, contextual variation, or implementation depth. Newman et al. (2021) emphasize that while bibliometric and conceptual mapping techniques help visualize trends, they often fail to capture practical deployment challenges and the readiness of firms for technological transformation. Similarly, Li et al. (2024) highlight that the relationship between IT integration capability and project performance is shaped by complex mediating and moderating factors.

Furthermore, the absence of experimental validation or empirical testing restricts the generalizability of the matrix findings. While this qualitative mapping is consistent with previous thematic approaches (Perera et al., 2025; You & Feng, 2020), it lacks the quantitative depth found in case-based or simulation-based research . Future studies should aim to bridge this gap by incorporating field-based data, expert validation, or structured scoring systems to better capture the real-world effectiveness of each technology across CPM functions.

3.1. Building Information Modeling (BIM) in Construction Project Management

BIM exhibits comprehensive integration across all five CPM functions. For time and cost management, BIM supports 4D (schedule-based) and 5D (cost-based) modeling, enabling accurate construction sequencing and real-time budget updates (Jiang et al., 2024). BIM also enhances quality assurance through automated clash detection and constructability analysis (Sacks et al., 2018). Regarding communication, BIM improves stakeholder coordination and documentation via centralized data models (Azhar, 2011).

3.2. Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR) in Construction Project Management

VR/AR technologies have demonstrated effective integration in quality,and communication functions. For quality, they enable interactive design validation and detection of construction discrepancies (Machado & Vilela, 2020). also promote communication through real-time visualization and stakeholder engagement, especially during design reviews (Wang et al., 2014). According to Davila Delgado et al. (2020), VR/AR enhance project execution and enable the development of improved and innovative services (Seyman-Guray & Kismet, 2024). However to, limited evidence exists regarding their role in cost control or financial planning.

3.3. Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Construction Project Management

AI is increasingly integrated into time, cost, quality, and safety domains. AI algorithms support schedule forecasting and delay prediction, improving time management (Mosavi et al., 2019). In cost management, AI enhances accuracy in budgeting and cost estimation by learning from historical data (Khosrowshahi & Arayici, 2012). For quality, image recognition and sensor data are used for defect detection and construction monitoring (Tan et al., 2024). AI also contributes to safety through predictive analytics based on real-time site data (Zhou et al., 2023). However, AI's contribution to communication remains limited due to its data-centric and backend-focused implementations.

3.4. Digital Twins in Construction Project Management

Digital Twins demonstrate integration in time, cost, and quality management. They enable real-time tracking and simulation of construction progress, aiding in schedule and budget control (Opoku et al., 2021). For quality, Digital Twins support continuous assessment and visualization of performance data (Le et al., 2021). Despite their potential, practical implementations in communication function is still at an early stage and require further exploration (Boje et al., 2020).

3.5. Internet of Things (IoT) in Construction Project Management

IoT technologies are prominently integrated into time, cost, and quality domains. IoT-enabled sensors and monitoring devices help optimize construction schedules and resource allocation (Oke et al., 2022). In cost control, IoT provides real-time tracking of materials and labor, reducing wastage and improving efficiency (Jamlus & Haron, 2024). Quality is maintained through automated environmental monitoring and compliance verification (Ahmed et al., 2025). However, direct applications in communication remain underdeveloped and indirect at best.

4. Conclusion and Suggestions

This study explored the evolving landscape of construction project management through the lens of Industry 4.0 technologies. By focusing on five key Technologies BIM, VR/AR, AI, Digital Twins, and IoT and analyzing their presence across the primary construction project management domains of time, cost, quality, safety, and communication, a structured matrix was developed based on a thematic review of recent WoS indexed literature.

Findings indicate that BIM and IoT demonstrate the broadest applicability across all construction project management domains, reflecting their maturity and established use in industry practice. AI and Digital Twins show increasing adoption, particularly in predictive and real-time monitoring functions, though their communication-related applications remain limited. VR/AR technologies, while highly effective for visualization tasks, have more specialized and narrower use cases.

As a limitation of the study the functional mapping is based on a qualitative literature review, which may introduce subjectivity in interpreting technology-function relationships. Additionally, the study omits expert validation and empirical data, which could strengthen the generalizability of the framework.

Moreover, the use of a binary classification approach (✓/×), while helpful for conceptual clarity, simplifies the complex realities of implementation depth, scalability, and organizational readiness. Future research should therefore consider mixed-method approaches, such as expert-based scoring, simulation, or case-driven performance validation, to refine and expand this initial framework.

The study contributes to the growing body of knowledge by mapping the alignment between emerging technologies and core project management functions, offering a practical reference for researchers and practitioners. Rather than evaluating technological integration intensity, the analysis focused on technological presence, revealing both current capabilities and potential development areas. Future research could benefit from empirical validations or case studies that assess actual impacts on project performance indicators.

Acknowledgments and Information Note

The article complies with national and international research and publication ethics.

Ethics Committee approval was not required for the study.

Author Contribution and Conflict of Interest Disclosure Information

The article was written by a single author. There is no conflict of interest.

References

Abioye, S. O., Oyedele, L. O., Akanbi, L., Ajayi, A., Delgado, J. M. D., Bilal, M., ... & Ahmed, A. (2021). Artificial intelligence in the construction industry: A review of present status, opportunities and future challenges. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *44*, 103299.

Ahmed, S., Ahmed, T., Ahmed, H. N., Ali, S. M., Gonzalez, E. D. S. & Kabir, G. (2025). Modeling the nexus of data analytics, sustainability practices and quality management: Evidence of key enablers. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *27*(1), 881-908.

Azhar, S. (2011). Building information modeling (BIM): Trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the AEC industry. *Leadership and management in engineering*, *11*(3), 241-252.

Boje, C., Guerriero, A., Kubicki, S. & Rezgui, Y. (2020). Towards a semantic Construction Digital Twin: Directions for future research. *Automation in construction*, *114*, 103179.

Dave, B., Kubler, S., Främling, K. & Koskela, L. (2016). Opportunities for enhanced lean construction management using Internet of Things standards. *Automation in construction*, *61*, 86-97.

Davila Delgado, J. M., Oyedele, L., Beach, T. & Demian, P. (2020). Augmented and virtual reality in construction: Drivers and limitations for industry adoption. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, *146*(7), 04020079.

Deloitte. (2025). *The state of digital adoption in the construction industry: Asia Pacific construction outlook*. DeloitteInsights. Access Address (03.06.2025): https://www.deloitte.com/au/en/services/economics/analysis/state-digital-adoption-construction-industry.html

Jamlus, N. D. F. & Haron, R. C. (2024). The challenges of the internet of things (Iot) in the context of construction cost management. *Journal of Architecture, Planning and Construction Management*, *14*(1).

Jiang, Y., Su, S., Zhao, S., Zhong, R. Y., Qiu, W., Skibniewski, M. J., ... & Huang, G. Q. (2024). Digital twin-enabled synchronized construction management: a roadmap from construction 4.0 towards future prospect. *Developments in the Built Environment*, 100512.

Khosrowshahi, F. & Arayici, Y. (2012). Roadmap for implementation of BIM in the UK construction industry. *Engineering, construction and architectural management*, *19*(6), 610-635.

KPMG. (2023). *Global construction survey 2023: Navigating a market slowdown*. KPMG *International*. Access Address (29.05.2025): https://kpmg.com/au/en/home/insights/2023/06/global-construction-survey-trends-2023.html

Le, H. T. T., Likhitruangsilp, V. & Yabuki, N. (2021). A BIM-database-integrated system for construction cost estimation. *ASEAN Engineering Journal*, *11*(1), 45-59.

Li, X., Cheng, L., Jiao, H. & Li, H. (2024). Exploring the impact of information technology integration capability on project management performance in Chinese construction industry: a moderated mediation analysis. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.

Machado, R. L. & Vilela, C. (2020). Conceptual framework for integrating BIM and augmented reality in construction management. *Journal of civil engineering and management*, *26*(1), 83-94.

McKinsey Global Institute. (2017). *Reinventing construction: A route to higher productivity*. McKinsey & Company. Access Adress (03.06.2025): https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/engineering-construction-and-building-materials/our-insights/reinventing-construction-through-a-productivity-revolution

Mosavi, A., Salimi, M., Faizollahzadeh Ardabili, S., Rabczuk, T., Shamshirband, S. & Varkonyi-Koczy, A. R. (2019). State of the art of machine learning models in energy systems, a systematic review. *Energies*, *12*(7), 1301.

Newman, C., Edwards, D., Martek, I., Lai, J., Thwala, W. D. & Rillie, I. (2021). Industry 4.0 deployment in the construction industry: a bibliometric literature review and UK-based case study. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, *10*(4), 557-580.

Oke, A. E., Arowoiya, V. A. & Akomolafe, O. T. (2022). Influence of the Internet of Things' application on construction project performance. *International Journal of Construction Management*, *22*(13), 2517-2527.

Opoku, D. G. J., Perera, S., Osei-Kyei, R. & Rashidi, M. (2021). Digital twin application in the construction industry: A literature review. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *40*, 102726.

Perera, C. S. R., Francis, V. & Gao, S. (2025). A conceptual framework for Industry 4.0 acceptance and adoption in the construction industry: a systematic review. *Construction Innovation*.

Project Management Institute (PMI). (2021). *The pulse of the profession 2021: Beyond agility*. PMI. Access Address (01.06.2025): https://www.pmi.org/learning/library/pulse-of-the-profession-2021-13359

Sacks, R., Eastman, C., Lee, G. & Teicholz, P. (2018). *BIM handbook: A guide to building information modeling for owners, designers, engineers, contractors, and facility managers*. John Wiley & Sons.

Sawhney, A., Riley, M., Irizarry, J. & Riley, M. (2020). Construction 4.0. *Sawhney, A., Riley, M., Irizarry, J., Eds*.

Seyman-Guray, T. & Kismet, B. (2024). Drivers and barriers on implementing XR technologies in the construction industry in Turkey. *International Journal of Construction Management*, *24*(9), 959-

974.

Tan, Y., Xu, W., Chen, P. & Zhang, S. (2024). Building defect inspection and data management using computer vision, augmented reality, and BIM technology. *Automation in Construction*, *160*, 105318.

Wang, J., Wang, X., Shou, W. & Xu, B. (2014). Integrating BIM and augmented reality for interactive architectural visualisation. *Construction Innovation*, *14*(4), 453-476.

You, Z. & Feng, L. (2020). Integration of industry 4.0 related technologies in construction industry: a framework of cyber-physical system. *Ieee Access*, *8*, 122908-122922.

Zhou, Z., Cao, Y., Yang, Y. & Tang, Y. (2023). Real-time onlıne qualıty feedback system based on digital visualization. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering*, *30*(5).

Authors are responsible for the copyright of figures, pictures, and images in the articles, the content of the articles, the accuracy of the references and citations, and the suggested ideas and others.